Chief, Administrative Staff, GRF

THRU : Chief, Economic Research Area, CRR

27 FIR 1959

Chief, Industrial Division, GRR

Advisory Committees

- REF : a. Memorandum from the Assistant to the DD/I (Administration), dated, 24 February 1999, Same Subject
 - b. Memorandum from the 1CI, dated, 14 February 1959, Same Subject
 - 1. As defined by reference b. the Industrial Division has one panel on the records, has held one meeting of one "ad her" panel, and has participated in the briefing and discussions of two other panels whose control in outside the jurisdiction of ORR.

AIRCRAFT INDUSTRY ADVISOR FAMEL

2. The so-called Aircraft Industry Advisory Fanel to sade up of six members from the are identified as follows:

The members are 25X1A5a1



SUBJECT: Advisory Committees

25X1A5a1

- 3. It is important to point out that the members have never convened as a penel, but have been consulted in an advisory expecity on an individual basis in nearly all instances. The only exceptions being when two consultants were called upon for a tyles concerning heavy beaber production.

 25X1A5a1
- 4. These consultants have been used individually to advise on the reasonableness of our estimates of rates of production. scheduling assumptions, costs of production and producibility of various Soviet aircraft.
- 5. There are several good reasons why the members have not been asked to meet as a panel; first, manufacturers prefer not to exchange proprietary information with competitors even to an intelligence group; second, individual consultants are retained for different and special reasons and therefore there is little to be gained from a panel meeting of such experts; third, the consultants are cleared at different levels of security on a strict need-to-know basis, this fact alone would cause such a panel to be most ineffective if not inoperative; and finally, consultants of one company are not aware of our relations with other companies in their field of interest.
- 6. Therefore, it seems quite clear that in a strictly technical sense an advisory panel focus not exist. It seems equally clear for the reasons given above, that such a panel would not even be desirable.

AD HOC PANEL ON FEASIBILITY OF DISFECTION SYSTEM FOR CHIDGO MISSILE PRODUCTION AND DELLOYMENT

7. In response to a request from the Department of State, the Economic Research Area, CHR, conducted a two-day symposium (9 - 10 June 1958) to essess the problems and feasibility of an inspection program for a possible US-USSR agreement to ben the production and deployment of long range ballistic missiles. Some sixteen persons attended this symposiums three from CIA, eleven from other departments or agencies of the US Government, and two from the Afull account of these meetings is available in this office. 25X1A5a1

OTHER CIA PARKIS

d. At various times, representatives of this Division have briefed and participated in discussions with the Director's

Approved For Release 2001/07/28 : CIA-RDP62S00231A000100110106-5

Approved For Release 2001/07/28: CIA-RDP62S00231A000100110106-5

SUBJECT: Advisory Committaces

panels on guided missiles and atomic energy. The fell member thip of these penels is not known to this office but can be obtained from OSI. 25X1A9a



Distribution:

Orig & 1 - Addressee

1 - Ch/E 1 - D/I

D/I :rlp/2345(27Feb59)

25X1A9a